Systems Biology Understanding of the Effects of Lithium on Cancer

Weihao Ge^{1,2} and Eric Jakobsson^{1,2,3,*}

¹National Center for Supercomputing Applications, ²Center for Biophysics and

Computational Biology, ³Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology University

of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA

Correspondence

*Eric Jakobsson jake@illinois.edu

Abstract

Lithium has many widely varying biochemical and phenomenological effects, suggesting that a systems biology approach is required to understand its action. Multiple lines of evidence point to lithium as a significant factor in development of cancer, showing that understanding lithium action is of high importance. In this paper we undertake first steps towards a systems approach by analyzing mutual enrichment between the interactomes of lithium-sensitive enzymes and the pathways associated with cancer. This work integrates information from two important databases, STRING and KEGG pathways. We find that for the majority of cancer pathways the mutual enrichment is many times greater than chance, reinforcing previous lines of evidence that lithium is an important influence on cancer.

Keywords: Lithium, systems biology, biochemical pathways, biochemical networks

Introduction

Clinical and Epidemiological Context for Lithium and Cancer

By far the most common medical use of lithium is as a first line therapy for bipolar disorder, including associated depression as well as mania.¹ A comprehensive review of the literature confirms that lithium is also effective against unipolar depression with unique anti-suicidal effectiveness, and may also be useful against cancer and neurodegenerative disease.²

One line of evidence for the possible use of lithium as an anticancer agent is epidemiological. A retrospective study showing that psychiatric patients undergoing lithium therapy for bipolar disorder had a much lower incidence of cancer than a matched group not receiving lithium therapy.³ More recent studies of similar design, one conducted nationwide across Sweden, and another across Taiwan, achieved the same result.^{4 5} On the other hand another nationwide study, this time from Denmark, showed no correlation of lithium with colorectal adenocarcinoma.⁶ On closer look, the Denmark study does not contradict the Swedish study. The Swedish study also found that for the entire population lithium was not correlated with cancer incidence, but in addition found that bipolar individuals not treated with lithium had a higher incidence of cancer than the general population. Lithium-treated bipolar patients, on the other hand, had essentially the same cancer incidence as the general population.

One piece of experimental evidence for lithium's potential as a cancer therapeutic modality is that it was observed to inhibit prostate tumor growth,⁷ presumably through its ability to inhibit GSK3. A detailed study of molecular mechanisms by which lithium inhibition of GSK3-beta inhibits proliferation of prostate tumor cells in culture was presented by Sun et al.⁸ The work was subsequently extended to an animal model.⁹ A clinical trial for the effect of lithium coupled with prostatectomy on men has been conducted but as of this writing results have not yet been published.¹⁰

With respect to other cancers, lithium has been found to be lethal to neuroblastoma cells but not to normal nerve cells.¹¹ The experimentally determined effective dose was 12 mM, a level which would be lethal if achieved systemically in a human or model organism but perhaps could be induced locally. A similar effect was found in ovarian cancer cells,¹² although a subsequent similar study on ovarian cancer cells suggests only a more modest benefit.¹³ It is not clear from our reading of the two ovarian cancer papers why the results are significantly different from each other.

With respect to colorectal cancer, one study suggests that lithium inhibits proliferation of a colorectal cancer cell line.¹⁴ Another study on colon cancer cells showed that lithium specifically induced a reversal of the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition characteristic of the cancer cells.¹⁵

Two studies with relatively small sample size suggested a possible link between lithium and tumors of the upper urinary tract.^{16 17} However a large-scale study involving all urinary tract cancers in Denmark over a multi-year period found no correlation with lithium use.¹⁸

Because lithium therapy is systemic rather than topical or local, it follows that lithium might inhibit metastasis. Evidence that this is the case for colon cancer comes from observation of inhibition of metastasis-inducing factors by lithium and by observation on reduced metastasis in model animals given lithium therapy.¹⁹

Autophagy is a key cellular process in the inhibition of cancer.²⁰ Lithium has been shown to induce autophagy, due to its inhibition of inositol monophosphatase.²¹ The full range of lithium effects on autophagy is complicated,²² as might be expected because lithium has multiple targets, which themselves have multiple substrates.

Because of the promising indications as cited above, lithium has been suggested as one of a number of drugs commonly used for other reasons, to be repurposed for cancer.²³

Biochemical Context for Lithium and Cancer

Much of lithium's known biochemical action may be summarized by noting that it inhibits some phosphate-transfer enzymes (primarily phosphatases and kinases) that have magnesium as a co-factor.² A common underlying biophysical basis for competition between lithium and magnesium for modulating phosphate-transfer enzymes, is suggested by noting that the primary energy source for cells and the substrate for phosphorylating enzymes is not bare ATP, but rather magnesium-associated ATP (MgATP).²⁴ NMR studies show that lithium associates with MgATP.²⁵ Based on this admittedly small amount of data, we consider the possibility that lithium generally associates with magnesium-phosphate complexes and thus has the potential to modulate to some extent a large number of phosphorylation reactions and ATP-splitting processes.

Because mutations in G protein linked receptors have emerged as of interest in cancer research,²⁶ it is significant that lithium appears to inhibit β -adrenergic and muscarinic receptor coupling to G proteins by competing with magnesium, which facilitates such coupling.^{27 28 29 30 31}

In the literature we find evidence for direct lithium inhibition of seventeen human magnesium-dependent phosphate-transfer enzymes, as follows: A review by Phiel and Klein³² identified five (IMPase, IPPase, FBPase, BPntase, and GSK3B). Testing against a panel of 80 protein kinases³³ revealed lithium sensitivity for eight more enzymes (MNK1, MNK2, smMLCK, PHK, CHK2, HIPK3, IKK ϵ and TBK1). It has long been observed that adenyl cyclase activity is inhibited by lithium.³⁴ Of nine different adenylyl cyclases tested, two (ADCY5 and ADCY7) are strongly inhibited by lithium and one (ADCY2) is less strongly but significantly inhibited.³⁵ With the addition of GSK3A,³⁶ we have a list of seventeen phosphate-transfer enzymes directly inhibited by lithium. An inspection of protein-protein interaction databases indicate that all seventeen interact with multiple other gene products. It should be noted that 72 out of 80 kinases³³, and six out of nine adenylyl cyclases³⁵, screened were found *not* to be lithium-sensitive.

Because lithium affects many different biological molecules and processes², it is essential to utilize the tools of systems biology³⁷ if a comprehensive understanding of lithium action and its prospects for therapy are to be obtained. Important concepts for organizing biological information in a systems context are pathways and networks. A very useful tool for obtaining data about known pathways is the KEGG database.³⁸ An equally useful and complementary tool is the STRING database of interacting proteins.³⁹ In the present paper we investigate further the possible linkages between lithium and cancer by analyzing the mutual enrichment between STRING-derived interactomes of lithium-sensitive enzymes, and the KEGG pathways associated with cancer.

Methods

Analysis was performed on the interactomes of the above-mentioned lithiumsensitive genes. The interactomes of these genes were extracted from the STRING database (<u>https://string-db.org</u>). For each key gene, we adjust confidence level and order of neighbors (nearest only or next nearest included), so that each set contains a few hundred genes. This size is large enough for statistically reliable enrichment analysis.

Disease Association

We used the R-package KEGGgraph^{40 41} to identify the genes associated with the cancer-relevant pathways.

P-value calculation

The fundamental question we address is whether there is significant overlap or mutual enrichment between the interactomes of lithium-sensitive genes and the pathways or gene sets implicated in various cancers.

For each of the 17 lithium sets, an ensemble of 1000 null sets are generated by random selection from the human genome. Each null set is the same size as the corresponding lithium set. Then we used the R-package STRINGdb⁴² to perform KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. This operation is a particular example of the powerful technique of gene-annotation enrichment analysis.⁴³ In gene-annotation enrichment analysis a test list of genes (often derived from gene expression experiments) is compared

to an organized database of gene annotations, often referred to as a gene ontology⁴⁴, an array of gene lists corresponding to different biological functions, molecular functions, or locations in the cell. The output of the gene-annotation enrichment analysis is expressed as the likelihood that the list overlaps could have occurred by chance (p-value). A very low p-value implies that the degree of overlap is highly significant statistically and very likely is significant biologically. In our study the gene lists we are comparing are the interactomes of lithium sensitive enzymes on the one hand, and KEGG pathways associated with cancer on the other hand. For each KEGG term retrieved, a null distribution of uncorrected p-value is generated by the 1000 null sets. This gives us a measure of the false discovery rate, since any overlap between the null sets and the KEGG pathways is purely accidental. Then the fraction of null set uncorrected p-values smaller than or equal to the lithium-sensitive set uncorrected p-value would be the empirical p-value. For a detailed discussion of empirical p-value determination see Ge et al⁴⁵.

Results

Fig.1 shows mutual lithium interactome enrichment with specific cancer pathways, represented by heatmaps. Each area on the heatmap is a color-coded representation of the degree of mutual enrichment between the genes in the interactome of the indicated lithium sensitive enzyme and the genes in the indicated pathway. The darker the shade, the more significant the mutual enrichment of the interactome-pathway combination is. The light areas on the heatmap represent situations where a lithium-sensitive interactome has little or no mutual enrichment with a cancer pathway. The dark areas, deep orange

and red, represent situations where enrichment is very strong—far greater than could be expected by chance.



Figure 1. Visual representation of mutual enrichment patterns between specific cancer pathways and the interactomes of lithium-sensitive gene products. Calibration of p-value vs. color is indicated by a vertical scale to the right of the heat map. Red or dark orange indicates very strong enrichment while lighter color indicates weak or, if white, no enrichment. Five genes stand out as being not strongly connected to these cancer pathways: BPNT1, HIPK3, ADCY2, ADCY5, and ADCY7. Of the cancer pathways, chemical carcinogenesis stands out as being less likely to be strongly influenced by lithium levels, although there is a strong mutual enrichment between the interactome of IMPAD1 and this pathway. For the remainder of the genes and the remainder of the cancers, the relationship between the lithium-sensitive interactome and the cancer phenotype is strong.

It appears that the interactomes of five out of the 17 lithium-sensitive genes (ADCY2, ADCY5, ADCY7, BPNT1, and HIPK3) do not show significant mutual

enrichment with specific cancer pathways. Chemical carcinogenesis shows significant mutual enrichment with only one of the interactomes, that of IMPAD1. For the remaining specific cancer pathways and lithium-sensitive interactomes, there are multiple areas of strong mutual enrichment. The genes contained in these overlapping areas, and their modes of regulation, appear worthy of further study in unraveling the details of the lithium vs cancer relationship.

In addition to the labeled specific cancer pathways we extended the analysis to signaling pathways in which dysfunction is implicated in cancer, as indicated in the literature.^{46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53} Figure 2 shows in heatmap form the mutual enrichment between the seventeen lithium-sensitive interactomes and thirteen pathways relevant to cancer.



Figure 2. Visual representation of mutual enrichment patterns between signaling pathways implicated in cancer and the interactomes of lithium-sensitive gene products. Calibration of p-value vs. color is indicated by a vertical scale to the right of the heat map. Red or dark orange indicates very strong enrichment while lighter color indicates

weak or, if white, no enrichment. Only one gene product appears not relevant to cancer, HIPK3. The three adenyl cyclases, BPNT1, and CHEK2 show strong mutual enrichment for only a couple of the pathways. Each of the remaining eleven interactomes show strong mutual enrichment with most of the cancer-relevant pathways.

The inescapable conclusion from Figures 1 and 2 is that variability in lithium concentration is likely to significantly modulate most cancer-relevant pathways. We should note that sensitivity to lithium does not necessarily imply a *beneficial* sensitivity. There are some indications for some cancers that lithium might be beneficial, as described in the Introduction section of this paper, but because of the complexity of the feedback relationships in these pathways, a complicated relationship between lithium ingestion and cancer incidence is very possible.

Summary and Discussion

We have conducted a pathway and network enrichment analysis exploring the role of lithium in multiple cancers and cancer-related pathways. The results show that for the large majority of such cancers, there is high mutual enrichment between the interactomes of lithium-sensitive enzymes and the pathways associated with those diseases, indicating that lithium is very likely to affect the incidence and course of the disease. Our results are consistent with a variety of lines of evidence from both epidemiology and from experiment, cited in the Introduction section of this paper, suggesting possible influence of lithium on the incidence and progression of cancer.

We hope that the results described in this paper will contribute to prioritizing and designing clinical trials of lithium for cancer. To provide context for such prioritization

and design, it is essential to take into account the ways in which lithium is unique, both as a pharmaceutical and as an ion that is ubiquitous in the environment, and therefore ubiquitous in the water and food we ingest²:

- 1. Unlike other ions, lithium is not closely regulated by selective membrane transport processes. Rather it shares pathways that are mainly selective for other ions, in most cases sodium.² Therefore, lithium concentration in both extracellular and intracellular compartments, rather than being nearly constant as is the case with other ions, is roughly proportional to lithium ingestion.⁵⁴ Whereas changes in the concentrations of other ions of more than a few percent have severe acute adverse consequences, the human body adjusts without acute adverse consequence to changes in lithium concentrations of several orders of magnitude. Our biochemistry has evolved to accommodate to widely varying lithium levels, as opposed to developing the ability to closely regulate lithium levels.
- 2. The multiple enzymes inhibited by lithium are each functionally linked to large numbers of other genes. This explains why the effects of lithium are widespread and varied; lithium has a modulating effect on many gene networks. We note that screening for lithium sensitivity has so far not included systematic examination of multiple variants of particular gene products, either mutational variants or alternative splices from the same gene. Therefore, it may be that some of the enzymes that have been found not lithium-sensitive may have mutational or splice variants that are sensitive. Conversely, some of the enzymes that have been found to be lithium-sensitive may have

mutational or splice variants that are insensitive. The plausibility of such a possibility is exemplified by a functional, structural, and mutational study on an archaeal inositol monophosphatase.⁵⁵ The archaeal enzyme has high homology (30% identical, 50% similar) to its human counterpart and functions in the same magnesium-dependent manner. In this study it was shown that a single amino acid substitution could convert the enzyme from its native lithium-insensitive form to a lithium-sensitive form. Perhaps of relevance, it has long been known that lithium responsiveness is significantly variable among human individuals.⁵⁶

3. Unlike other pharmaceuticals, lithium is probably an essential trace element in the diet.^{57 58 59}The question with lithium is not whether it should be ingested or not, but rather how much. Extreme lithium deprivation results in failure to thrive, while too much lithium is toxic. The existence of these extrema suggests existence of an intermediate optimum.

Therefore, we suggest that the correct question to ask with respect to lithium and a particular disease is not, "Should lithium be administered for this particular disease?" but rather, "What is the optimum blood level of lithium for this individual, given his or her disease history, status, genetic propensities, and other medications?" Unlike some pharmaceuticals that are more specific and inhibit or activate one gene or a small number of genes, the model for lithium action is that it alters the balance between a large number of interacting processes and pathways. Thus, a dose-response curve for lithium is likely to be highly nonlinear and not always monotonic. There are just a few well-established markers for optimum concentrations. For a patient with a reliable diagnosis of bipolar disorder a common target for optimality would be blood concentration of 0.8-1 mM. Significantly higher concentrations will result in acute toxicity, while significantly lower will result in loss of effectiveness. However, this level has some side effects when sustained for years or decades, namely an increased risk of kidney damage and lowered thyroid activity. ⁶⁰

At the other end of the dosage scale, epidemiological evidence is compelling that geographical variations in concentration of lithium in the drinking water are correlated with a variety of health and wellness markers, most notably and reliably with incidence of suicide. ^{61,62,63 64 65 66}

Another important marker is provided by a study showing that over a four-year period a lithium level of .25-.4 mM of lithium (1/4 to 1/2 of the bipolar therapeutic dose) did not incur any renal damage⁶⁷. This study suggests that clinical studies exploring low to medium-dose lithium could be undertaken with relatively minimal concerns for side effects.

One possible piece of low-hanging fruit for a clinical trial would be low- to medium-dose lithium for men undergoing active surveillance (AS) for advance of prostate cancer. From studies of AS outcomes, a large fraction of patients on AS ultimately require invasive treatment, as reviewed by Dall'Era et al⁶⁸. When this need arises it typically comes after only a few years. Thus, a trial of lithium in this context would produce significant results in a short time and would be relatively inexpensive. One of us (EJ) conducted an informal one-person trial on himself after being diagnosed with prostate cancer in 2014, ingesting lithium supplements sufficient to bring his blood

lithium to .3-.4mM while undergoing AS by Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. (MSK did not prescribe the lithium but agreed to include lithium level measurement in periodic blood tests.) In October 2017 EJ was told that there was no longer a need for AS. One case, important as it is to EJ, does not have statistical significance. We need clinical trials with significant numbers of people.

In ongoing work, we are constructing gene interaction networks built on a core based on those genes shared by the lithium-sensitive interactomes and the diseasespecific networks; in other words, we will move from gene lists to gene networks. We will be happy to collaborate on further specific pathway or network analysis relevant to any of the cancers for which lithium may be a promising component of therapy.

Author Contributions

The work was planned jointly in conversations between EJ and WG. WG did the computations and prepared the figures and tables. WG wrote the first draft of the Methods and Results sections. EJ wrote the first draft of the Introduction and Conclusions sections. Both authors shared in the final refinement of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no personal, professional, or financial relationships that could be construed as a conflict of interest with the work described in this paper.

References

¹ Post, Robert M. "Treatment of bipolar depression: evolving recommendations." *Psychiatric Clinics* 39, no. 1 (2016): 11-33.

² Jakobsson, Eric, Orlando Argüello-Miranda, See-Wing Chiu, Zeeshan Fazal, James Kruczek, Santiago Nunez-Corrales, Sagar Pandit, and Laura Pritchet. "Towards a Unified Understanding of Lithium Action in Basic Biology and its Significance for Applied Biology." *The Journal of membrane biology* 250, no. 6 (2017): 587-604.

³ Cohen, Y., A. Chetrit, P. Sirota, and B. Modan. "Cancer morbidity in psychiatric patients: influence of lithium carbonate treatment." *Medical Oncology* 15, no. 1 (1998): 32-36.

⁴ Martinsson L, Westman J, Hällgren J, Ösby U, Backlund L. Lithium treatment and cancer incidence in bipolar disorder. Bipolar disorders. 2016 Feb 1;18(1):33-40.

⁵ Huang, Ru-Yu, Kun-Pin Hsieh, Wan-Wen Huang, and Yi-Hsin Yang. "Use of lithium and cancer risk in patients with bipolar disorder: population-based cohort study." *The British Journal of Psychiatry* (2016): bjp-bp.

⁶ Pottegård A, Ennis ZN, Hallas J, Jensen BL, Madsen K, Friis S. Long-term use of lithium and risk of colorectal adenocarcinoma: a nationwide case–control study. British journal of cancer. 2016 Mar 1;114(5):571-5.

⁷ Mazor, Michal, Yoshiaki Kawano, Hanneng Zhu, Jonathan Waxman, and Robert M. Kypta. "Inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase-3 represses androgen receptor activity and prostate cancer cell growth." *Oncogene* 23, no. 47 (2004): 7882-7892.

⁸ Sun, Aijing, Ilanchezian Shanmugam, Jiawu Song, Paul F. Terranova, J. Brantley Thrasher, and Benyi Li. "Lithium suppresses cell proliferation by interrupting E2F–DNA interaction and subsequently reducing S– phase gene expression in prostate cancer." *The Prostate* 67, no. 9 (2007): 976-988.

⁹ Zhu, Qing, Jun Yang, Suxia Han, Jihong Liu, Jeffery Holzbeierlein, J. Brantley Thrasher, and Benyi Li. "Suppression of glycogen synthase kinase 3 activity reduces tumor growth of prostate cancer in vivo." *The Prostate* 71, no. 8 (2011): 835-845.

¹⁰ <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02198859</u>

¹¹ Duffy, David J., Aleksandar Krstic, Thomas Schwarzl, Desmond G. Higgins, and Walter Kolch. "GSK3 inhibitors regulate MYCN mRNA levels and reduce neuroblastoma cell viability through multiple mechanisms, including p53 and Wnt signaling." *Molecular cancer therapeutics* 13, no. 2 (2014): 454-467.
¹² Cao, Qi, Xin Lu, and You-Ji Feng. "Glycogen synthase kinase-3β positively regulates the proliferation of

human ovarian cancer cells." *Cell research* 16, no. 7 (2006): 671-677.

¹³ Novetsky, Akiva P., Dominic M. Thompson, Israel Zighelboim, Premal H. Thaker, Matthew A. Powell, David G. Mutch, and Paul J. Goodfellow. "Lithium and inhibition of GSK3β as a potential therapy for serous ovarian cancer."*International journal of gynecological cancer: official journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society* 23, no. 2 (2013): 361.

¹⁴ Li, H., Huang, K., Liu, X., Liu, J., Lu, X., Tao, K., Wang, G. and Wang, J., 2014. Lithium chloride suppresses colorectal cancer cell survival and proliferation through ROS/GSK-3β/NF-κB signaling pathway. *Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity*, *2014*.

¹⁵ Costabile, V., Duraturo, F., Delrio, P., Rega, D., Pace, U., Liccardo, R., Rossi, G.B., Genesio, R., Nitsch, L., Izzo, P. and De Rosa, M., 2015. Lithium chloride induces mesenchymal-to-epithelial reverting transition in primary colon cancer cell cultures. *international journal of oncology*, *46*(5), pp.1913-1923.

¹⁶ Rookmaaker, Maarten B., Heleen AJM van Gerven, Roel Goldschmeding, and Walther H. Boer. "Solid renal tumours of collecting duct origin in patients on chronic lithium therapy." *Clinical kidney journal* 5, no. 5 (2012): 412-415.

¹⁷ Zaidan, Mohamad, Fabien Stucker, Bénédicte Stengel, Viorel Vasiliu, Aurélie Hummel, Paul Landais, Jean-Jacques Boffa, Pierre Ronco, Jean-Pierre Grünfeld, and Aude Servais. "Increased risk of solid renal tumors in lithium-treated patients." *Kidney international* 86, no. 1 (2014): 184-190.

¹⁸ Pottegård, Anton, Jesper Hallas, Boye L. Jensen, Kirsten Madsen, and Søren Friis. "Long-term lithium use and risk of renal and upper urinary tract cancers." *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* (2015): ASN-2015010061.

¹⁹ Maeng, Yong-Sun, Rina Lee, Boram Lee, Seung-il Choi, and Eung Kweon Kim. "Lithium inhibits tumor lymphangiogenesis and metastasis through the inhibition of TGFBIp expression in cancer cells." *Scientific reports* 6 (2016).

²⁰ Zhong, Zhenyu, Elsa Sanchez-Lopez, and Michael Karin. "Autophagy, inflammation, and immunity: a troika governing cancer and its treatment." *Cell* 166, no. 2 (2016): 288-298.

²¹ Sarkar, Sovan, R. Andres Floto, Zdenek Berger, Sara Imarisio, Axelle Cordenier, Matthieu Pasco, Lynnette J. Cook, and David C. Rubinsztein. "Lithium induces autophagy by inhibiting inositol monophosphatase." *J Cell Biol* 170, no. 7 (2005): 1101-1111.

²² Motoi, Yumiko, Kohei Shimada, Koichi Ishiguro, and Nobutaka Hattori. "Lithium and autophagy." *ACS chemical neuroscience5*, no. 6 (2014): 434-442.

²³ Sleire, Linda, Hilde Elisabeth Førde-Tislevoll, Inger Anne Netland, Lina Leiss, Bente Sandvei Skeie, and Per Øyvind Enger. "Drug repurposing in cancer." *Pharmacological research* 124 (2017): 74-91.

²⁴ Gout, Elisabeth, Fabrice Rébeillé, Roland Douce, and Richard Bligny. "Interplay of Mg2+, ADP, and ATP in the cytosol and mitochondria: unravelling the role of Mg2+ in cell respiration." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111, no. 43 (2014): E4560-E4567.

²⁵ Briggs, Katharine T., Gary G. Giulian, Gong Li, Joseph PY Kao, and John P. Marino. "A Molecular Model for Lithium's Bioactive Form." *Biophysical Journal* 111, no. 2 (2016): 294-300.

²⁶ O'hayre, Morgan, José Vázquez-Prado, Irina Kufareva, Eric W. Stawiski, Tracy M. Handel, Somasekar Seshagiri, and J. Silvio Gutkind. "The emerging mutational landscape of G proteins and G-protein-coupled receptors in cancer." *Nature Reviews Cancer* 13, no. 6 (2013): 412.

²⁷ Avissar, Sofia, Dennis L. Murphy, and Gabriel Schreiber. "Magnesium reversal of lithium inhibition of β-adrenergic and muscarinic receptor coupling to G proteins." *Biochemical pharmacology* 41, no. 2 (1991): 171-175.

²⁸ Mota de Freitas, Duarte, M. Margarida CA Castro, and Carlos FGC Geraldes. "Is competition between Li+ and Mg2+ the underlying theme in the proposed mechanisms for the pharmacological action of lithium salts in bipolar disorder?." *Accounts of chemical research* 39, no. 4 (2006): 283-291.

²⁹ Yoshikawa, Tomoko, and Sato Honma. "Lithium lengthens circadian period of cultured brain slices in area specific manner." *Behavioural Brain Research*314 (2016): 30-37.

³⁰ Bauer, Michael, and Michael Gitlin. "What Is Lithium and How Does It Work?." In *The Essential Guide to Lithium Treatment*, pp. 33-43. Springer International Publishing, 2016.

³¹ Wang, Hoau-Yan, and Eitan Friedman. "Effects of lithium on receptor-mediated activation of G proteins in rat brain cortical membranes." *Neuropharmacology* 38, no. 3 (1999): 403-414.

³² Phiel, Christopher J., and Peter S. Klein. "Molecular targets of lithium action." *Annual review of pharmacology and toxicology*41, no. 1 (2001): 789-813.

³³ Bain, Jenny, Lorna Plater, Matt Elliott, Natalia Shpiro, C. James Hastie, Hilary Mclauchlan, Iva Klevernic, J. Simon C. Arthur, Dario R. Alessi, and Philip Cohen. "The selectivity of protein kinase inhibitors: a further update." *Biochemical Journal* 408, no. 3 (2007): 297-315.

³⁴ Forn, J., and F. G. Valdecasas. "Effects of lithium on brain adenyl cyclase activity." *Biochemical pharmacology* 20, no. 10 (1971): 2773-2779.
 ³⁵ Mann, Liad, Eliahu Heldman, Galit Shaltiel, R. H. Belmaker, and Galila Agam. "Lithium preferentially

³⁵ Mann, Liad, Eliahu Heldman, Galit Shaltiel, R. H. Belmaker, and Galila Agam. "Lithium preferentially inhibits adenylyl cyclase V and VII isoforms." *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology* 11, no. 4 (2008): 533-539.

³⁶ Freland, Laure, and Jean-Martin Beaulieu. "Inhibition of GSK3 by lithium, from single molecules to signaling networks." *Front Mol Neurosci* 5 (2012).

³⁷ Kitano, Hiroaki. "Systems biology: a brief overview." *Science*295, no. 5560 (2002): 1662-1664.
 ³⁸ Kanehisa, Minoru, Miho Furumichi, Mao Tanabe, Yoko Sato, and Kanae Morishima. "KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs." *Nucleic acids research* 45, no. D1 (2016): D353-D361.

³⁹ Szklarczyk, Damian, John H. Morris, Helen Cook, Michael Kuhn, Stefan Wyder, Milan Simonovic, Alberto Santos et al. "The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein–protein association networks, made broadly accessible." *Nucleic acids research* (2016): gkw937.

⁴⁰ Zhang JD and Wiemann S (2009). "KEGGgraph: a graph approach to KEGG PATHWAY in R and Bioconductor." *Bioinformatics*, pp. 1470–1471.

⁴¹ Zhang JD (2017). *KEGGgraph: Application Examples*. R package version 1.38.0.

⁴² Franceschini, A (2013). "STRING v9.1: protein-protein interaction networks, with increased coverage and integration." *Nucleic Acids Research (Database issue)*, **41**

⁴³ Huang, Da Wei, Brad T. Sherman, and Richard A. Lempicki. "Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists." *Nucleic acids research* 37, no. 1 (2008): 1-13.

⁴⁴ Thomas, P. D. "Expansion of the gene ontology knowledgebase and resources: the gene ontology consortium." *Nucleic Acids Res* 45 (2017): D331-D338.

⁴⁵ Ge, Weihao, Zeeshan Fazal, and Eric Jakobsson. "Using Optimal F-Measure and Random Resampling in Gene Ontology Enrichment Calculations." *bioRxiv* (2017): 218248.

⁴⁶ Sanchez-Vega, Francisco, Marco Mina, Joshua Armenia, Walid K. Chatila, Augustin Luna, Konnor C. La, Sofia Dimitriadoy et al. "Oncogenic Signaling Pathways in The Cancer Genome Atlas." *Cell* 173, no. 2 (2018): 321-337.

⁴⁷ Thomas, S. J., J. A. Snowden, M. P. Zeidler, and S. J. Danson. "The role of JAK/STAT signalling in the pathogenesis, prognosis and treatment of solid tumours." *British journal of cancer* 113, no. 3 (2015): 365.
 ⁴⁸ Kieran, Mark W., Raghu Kalluri, and Yoon-Jae Cho. "The VEGF pathway in cancer and disease:

responses, resistance, and the path forward." *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine* (2012): a006593. ⁴⁹ Ferrara, Napoleone. "VEGF and the quest for tumour angiogenesis factors." *Nature Reviews Cancer* 2, no. 10 (2002): 795.

⁵⁰ Zeligs, Kristen P., Monica K. Neuman, and Christina M. Annunziata. "Molecular pathways: The balance between cancer and the immune system challenges the therapeutic specificity of targeting nuclear factor-κb signaling for cancer treatment." *Clinical Cancer Research* (2016).

⁵¹ Dolcet, Xavier, David Llobet, Judit Pallares, and Xavier Matias-Guiu. "NF-kB in development and progression of human cancer." *Virchows archiv* 446, no. 5 (2005): 475-482.

⁵² Guertin, David A., and David M. Sabatini. "Defining the role of mTOR in cancer." *Cancer cell* 12, no. 1 (2007): 9-22.

⁵³ Dhillon, Amardeep Singh, Suzanne Hagan, O. Rath, and W. Kolch. "MAP kinase signalling pathways in cancer." *Oncogene*26, no. 22 (2007): 3279.

⁵⁴ de Roos, Nicole M., Jeanne HM de Vries, and Martijn B. Katan. "Serum lithium as a compliance marker for food and supplement intake." *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 73, no. 1 (2001): 75-79.

⁵⁵ Li, Zheng, Kimberly A. Stieglitz, Anthony L. Shrout, Yang Wei, Robert M. Weis, Boguslaw Stec, and Mary F. Roberts. "Mobile loop mutations in an archaeal inositol monophosphatase: Modulating three-metal ion assisted catalysis and lithium inhibition." *Protein Science* 19, no. 2 (2010): 309-318.

⁵⁶ Hou, Liping, Urs Heilbronner, Franziska Degenhardt, Mazda Adli, Kazufumi Akiyama, Nirmala Akula, Raffaella Ardau et al. "Genetic variants associated with response to lithium treatment in bipolar disorder: a genome-wide association study." *The Lancet* 387, no. 10023 (2016): 1085-1093.

⁵⁷ Anke, M., W. Arnhold, B. Groppel, and U. Krause. "The biological importance of lithium." *Lithium in Biology and Medicine* (1991): 149-167.

⁵⁸ Pickett, Edward E., and Boyd L. O'Dell. "Evidence for dietary essentiality of lithium in the rat." *Biological trace element research* 34, no. 3 (1992): 299-319.

⁵⁹ Schrauzer, Gerhard N. "Lithium: occurrence, dietary intakes, nutritional essentiality." *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* 21, no. 1 (2002): 14-21

⁶⁰ McKnight, Rebecca F., Marc Adida, Katie Budge, Sarah Stockton, Guy M. Goodwin, and John R. Geddes. "Lithium toxicity profile: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *The Lancet* 379, no. 9817 (2012): 721-728.

⁶¹ Schrauzer, Gerhard N., and Krishna P. Shrestha. "Lithium in drinking water and the incidences of crimes, suicides, and arrests related to drug addictions."*Biological trace element research* 25, no. 2 (1990): 105-113.

⁶² Ohgami, Hirochika, Takeshi Terao, Ippei Shiotsuki, Nobuyoshi Ishii, and Noboru Iwata. "Lithium levels in drinking water and risk of suicide." *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 194, no. 5 (2009): 464-465.

⁶³ Helbich, Marco, Michael Leitner, and Nestor D. Kapusta. "Geospatial examination of lithium in drinking water and suicide mortality." *Int J Health Geogr* 11 (2012): 19.

⁶⁴ Giotakos, Orestis, Paul Nisianakis, George Tsouvelas, and Vera-Varvara Giakalou. "Lithium in the public water supply and suicide mortality in Greece." *Biological trace element research* 156, no. 1-3 (2013): 376-379.

⁶⁵ Blüml, Victor, Michael D. Regier, Gerald Hlavin, Ian RH Rockett, Franz König, Benjamin Vyssoki, Tom Bschor, and Nestor D. Kapusta. "Lithium in the public water supply and suicide mortality in Texas." *Journal of psychiatric research* 47, no. 3 (2013): 407-411.

⁶⁶ Kapusta, Nestor D., Nilufar Mossaheb, Elmar Etzersdorfer, Gerald Hlavin, Kenneth Thau, Matthäus Willeit, Nicole Praschak-Rieder, Gernot Sonneck, and Katharina Leithner-Dziubas. "Lithium in drinking water and suicide mortality." *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 198, no. 5 (2011): 346-350.

⁶⁷ Aprahamian, Ivan, Franklin S. Santos, Bernardo dos Santos, Leda Talib, Breno S. Diniz, Márcia Radanovic, Wagner F. Gattaz, and Orestes V. Forlenza. "Long-term, low-dose lithium treatment does not

impair renal function in the elderly: a 2-year randomized, placebo-controlled trial followed by single-blind extension." *The Journal of clinical psychiatry* 75, no. 7 (2014): 672-678.

⁶⁸ Dall'Era, Marc A., Peter C. Albertsen, Christopher Bangma, Peter R. Carroll, H. Ballentine Carter, Matthew R. Cooperberg, Stephen J. Freedland, Laurence H. Klotz, Christopher Parker, and Mark S. Soloway. "Active surveillance for prostate cancer: a systematic review of the literature." *European urology* 62, no. 6 (2012): 976-983.